

Addendum No. 6
to the
Bid Documents
(plans/drawings/project manual)
for the
CITY CENTER IN CLARKSTON STREETSCAPE PROJECT
PI#0007613

Date of Addendum Issuance: August 1st, 2017

Please refer to the following Questions received prior to the 12:00 PM July 28th, 2017 deadline and the Follow-Up Answers (4 pages) as follows:

Questions Received Prior to the 12:00 P.M., July 28th, 2017 Deadline and Follow-Up Answers as follows:

Question #1: Is GDOT Pre-qualification required?

Answer: Yes

Question #2: Do materials have to be on the QPL?

Answer: Yes (The explanation for this was provided in a previous addendum)

Question #3: Is there a Geo-tech Report?

Answer: Yes. The Geotechnical Report is for the retaining wall at the pedestrian boardwalk at Milam Park. City will also provide the Phase II Environmental Site Investigation Report. Both reports will be provided “For Information Only” on the city web site with the following disclaimer.

“Reliance by Contractor on Technical Data Authorized: Contractor may rely upon the accuracy of the Technical Data expressly identified in the Supplementary Conditions with respect to such reports and drawings, but such reports and drawings are not Contract Documents. If no such express identification has been made, then Contractor may rely upon the accuracy of the Technical Data (as defined in Article 1) contained in any geotechnical or environmental report prepared for the Project and made available to Contractor. Except for such reliance on Technical Data, Contractor may not rely upon or make any claim against Owner or Engineer, or any of their officers, directors, members, partners, employees, agents, consultants, or subcontractors, with respect to:

1. the completeness of such reports and drawings for Contractor’s purposes, including, but not limited to, any aspects of the means, methods, techniques, sequences, and procedures of construction to be employed by Contractor, and safety precautions and programs incident thereto; or

2. other data, interpretations, opinions, and information contained in such reports or shown or indicated in such drawings; or

3. any Contractor interpretation of or conclusion drawn from any Technical Data or any such other data, interpretations, opinions, or information.”

· Question #4: Is the site unclassified?

Answer: Unclassified excavation is anticipated, but all earthwork is paid for under grading complete.

· Question #5: Is there rock?

Answer: The Geotechnical Report does not indicate the presence of rock within the boring locations

· Question #6: Is there a self-perform requirement?

Answer: No, however, there is a DBE requirement.

· Question #7: Is there unsuitable material?

Answer: Unknown.

· Question #8: Is the site intended to balance?

Answer: Bidders should determine this

· Question #9: Can the CAD be made available?

Answer: No, but they will be made available to the winning contractor

· Question #10: Is there asbestos?

Answer: Not that we are aware of

· Question #11: Are there any permit costs?

Answer: A LDP and NOI is required.

· Question #12: Are there any utility impact fees?

Answer: No.

· Question #13: Are there any utility relocation fees or scope?

Answer: Yes, please see 24 and 44 series drawings for scope of utility relocation work to be performed by contractor and utility owner.

· Question #14: Is shoring required?

Answer: Yes (The explanation for this was provided in a previous addendum)

Question #15: What is the time for completion?

Answer: 540 days

· Question #16: Are there Liquidated Damages?

Answer: Yes, please see GDOT Standard specification 108 and special provisions section 108.08.

· Question #17: How is it funded?

Answer: Georgia Transportation Infrastructure Bank, Federal Earmark, and Surface transportation Block Grant. This information was provided in a previous Addendum

· Question #18: Is there certified payroll/ Davis-Bacon wage rates?

Answer: Yes, these are included in the bid manual.

· Question #19: Are there work hour restrictions?

Answer: Yes, see special provision 150.11

· Question #20: Are there lane closure restrictions?

Answer: Yes, see special provision 150.11

· Question #21: Is the geotechnical or materials testing in the contractor's scope?

Answer: No, the City will contract these items separately.

· Question #22: Is there NPDES monitoring by the contractor?

Answer: Yes, see 51 series for notes on NPDES monitoring

· Question #23: Are their minority participation requirements?

Answer: No but there is a DBE goal of 12%

· Question #24: Does the prime pay for railroad flagging?

Answer: No (The explanation for this was provided in a previous addendum)

· Question #25: Will the owner pay for any additional costs associated with impacted soil disposal?

Answer: This cost should be included in grading complete

·

Question #26: Note 2 on Sheet 13-002 an area as indicated shall be replaced with a specific paving profile. Which area is indicated?

Answer: Note is located within Parcel 07. Asphalt Drive replacement is required where current grassed island is located and to be removed.

Question #27: Is High-Early concrete use for pedestrian access or can other methods use provided they ensure safe use at the end of work days?

Answer: Yes, the City will allow for high-early concrete use. Other methods may be submitted for City approval as well.

Question #28: Per Clearing Note 4 on Sheet 04-002 please confirm that existing structures and service lines are to removed and Flowable Fill is not to be used? Additionally please clarify what constitutes a service line versus storm drainage conveyance in the street, etc.

Answer: Confirmed. All structures to be demolished shall be completely removed above and below grade. Abandoned service lines to the structure shall also be removed. Service lines include water, sewer, gas, underground fiber, and underground electric.

Please refer to the "Response to Questions" from the pre-bid meeting. Many of the questions above were addressed in that addendum and other addendum associated with the project.